



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

DEATHS DURING WEEKS ENDED SEPT. 11 AND SEPT. 18, 1920.

[From the "Weekly Health Index," Sept. 14 and Sept. 21, 1920, issued by the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.]

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended Sept. 11, 1920, infant mortality (per cent), annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of preceding years.

| City. | Population Jan. 1, 1920, subject to revision. | Week ended Sept. 11, 1920. | | Average annual death rate per 1,000. ¹ | Per cent of deaths under 1 year. | |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | Total deaths. | Death rate. ¹ | | Week ended Sept. 11, 1920. | Previous year or years. ² |
| Akron, Ohio..... | 208,435 | 33 | 8.3 | * 10.2 | 15.2 | * 20.2 |
| Albany, N. Y..... | 113,344 | 31 | 14.3 | C 10.6 | 0.0 | C 21.7 |
| Atlanta, Ga..... | 200,616 | 69 | 17.9 | C 14.5 | 18.8 | C 5.5 |
| Baltimore, Md..... | 733,326 | 179 | 12.7 | A 14.8 | 19.6 | A 27.5 |
| Birmingham, Ala..... | 178,270 | 51 | 14.9 | A 16.6 | 15.7 | A 15.9 |
| Boston, Mass..... | 747,923 | 162 | 11.3 | A 15.4 | 25.3 | A 25.2 |
| Bridgeport, Conn..... | 143,152 | 31 | 11.3 | | 35.5 | |
| Buffalo, N. Y..... | 506,775 | 126 | 13.0 | C 12.6 | 22.2 | C 24.0 |
| Cambridge, Mass..... | 109,456 | 32 | 15.2 | A 11.7 | 21.9 | A 25.2 |
| Chicago, Ill..... | 2,701,705 | 482 | 9.8 | A 12.8 | 22.6 | A 27.2 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio..... | 401,247 | 89 | 11.6 | C 13.5 | 13.5 | C 11.7 |
| Cleveland, Ohio..... | 796,836 | 174 | 11.4 | C 12.9 | 21.8 | C 21.6 |
| Columbus, Ohio..... | 237,031 | 65 | 14.3 | C 12.9 | 29.2 | C 20.7 |
| Dallas, Tex..... | 158,976 | 25 | 8.2 | | 8.0 | |
| Dayton, Ohio..... | 153,830 | 25 | 8.5 | C 8.2 | 20.0 | C 33.3 |
| Denver, Colo..... | 256,491 | 71 | 14.4 | A 11.2 | 15.5 | |
| Fall River, Mass..... | 120,485 | 31 | 13.4 | C 10.8 | 29.0 | C 28.0 |
| Grand Rapids, Mich..... | 137,634 | 22 | 8.3 | C 9.2 | 36.4 | C 4.2 |
| Hartford, Conn..... | 138,036 | 41 | 15.5 | | 19.5 | |
| Indianapolis, Ind..... | 314,194 | 81 | 13.4 | C 11.1 | 21.0 | C 4.5 |
| Jersey City, N. J..... | 297,864 | 53 | 9.3 | C 14.1 | 24.5 | C 20.0 |
| Kansas City, Kans..... | 101,177 | 23 | 11.9 | | 17.4 | |
| Kansas City, Mo..... | 324,410 | 91 | 14.6 | C 11.2 | 23.1 | C 17.4 |
| Los Angeles, Calif..... | 576,673 | 138 | 12.5 | A 11.2 | 8.0 | A 10.4 |
| Louisville, Ky..... | 234,891 | 48 | 10.7 | C 11.6 | 12.5 | C 15.4 |
| Lowell, Mass..... | 112,479 | 30 | 13.9 | A 17.6 | 26.7 | A 35.5 |
| Milwaukee, Wis..... | 457,147 | 61 | 7.0 | A 11.1 | 18.0 | A 25.1 |
| Minneapolis, Minn..... | 390,582 | 74 | 10.1 | C 9.8 | 9.5 | C 14.1 |
| Nashville, Tenn..... | 118,342 | 33 | 14.5 | C 16.8 | 15.2 | C 7.9 |
| New Bedford, Mass..... | 121,217 | 20 | 8.6 | A 15.7 | 30.0 | A 41.0 |
| New Haven, Conn..... | 162,519 | 26 | 8.3 | C 8.1 | 19.2 | C 20.0 |
| New Orleans, La..... | 387,219 | 116 | 15.6 | A 17.0 | 12.1 | A 12.6 |
| New York, N. Y..... | 5,621,151 | 1,090 | 10.1 | C 10.0 | 18.8 | C 19.2 |
| Norfolk, Va..... | 115,777 | 20 | 9.0 | | 20.0 | |
| Oakland, Calif..... | 216,361 | 36 | 8.7 | A 10.7 | 16.7 | A 13.2 |
| Omaha, Nebr..... | 191,601 | 45 | 12.2 | C 9.9 | 22.2 | C 13.9 |
| Philadelphia, Pa..... | 1,823,158 | 359 | 10.3 | * 14.5 | 18.4 | * 20.2 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa..... | 588,193 | 126 | 11.2 | C 12.1 | 25.4 | C 16.2 |
| Portland, Oreg..... | 258,288 | 46 | 9.3 | C 9.0 | 15.2 | C 6.8 |
| Providence, R. I..... | 237,595 | 52 | 11.4 | C 14.5 | 26.9 | C 13.6 |
| Richmond, Va..... | 171,667 | 37 | 11.2 | C 10.5 | 13.5 | C 17.6 |
| Rochester, N. Y..... | 295,750 | 65 | 11.5 | C 12.0 | 23.1 | C 14.9 |
| St. Louis, Mo..... | 772,897 | 152 | 10.3 | C 12.2 | 13.8 | C 9.4 |
| St. Paul, Minn..... | 234,595 | 45 | 10.0 | C 12.9 | 15.6 | C 10.3 |
| Salt Lake City, Utah..... | 118,110 | 25 | 11.0 | A 11.8 | 20.0 | |
| San Francisco, Calif..... | 598,410 | 169 | 17.3 | C 11.1 | 5.3 | C 4.7 |
| Seattle, Wash..... | 315,652 | 42 | 6.9 | A 8.2 | 7.1 | A 10.0 |
| Spoilane, Wash..... | 104,204 | 22 | 11.0 | C 15.0 | 22.7 | C 3.3 |
| Springfield, Mass..... | 129,338 | 29 | 11.7 | | 17.2 | |
| Syracuse, N. Y..... | 171,647 | 41 | 12.5 | C 15.0 | 17.1 | C 22.4 |
| Toledo, Ohio..... | 243,109 | 50 | 10.7 | A 14.8 | 24.0 | A 21.4 |
| Trenton, N. J..... | 119,289 | 42 | 18.4 | A 16.3 | 21.4 | A 24.3 |
| Washington, D. C..... | 437,571 | 101 | 12.0 | A 15.4 | 15.8 | A 18.0 |
| Worcester, Mass..... | 179,754 | 40 | 11.6 | C 9.4 | 15.0 | C 9.4 |
| Yonkers, N. Y..... | 100,226 | 31 | 16.1 | A 13.2 | 9.7 | A 29.8 |
| Youngstown, Ohio..... | 132,358 | 17 | 6.7 | | 11.8 | |

¹ Annual rates per 1,000 population.

² "A" indicates data for the corresponding week of the years 1913 to 1917, inclusive. "C" indicates data for the corresponding week of the year 1919.

³ Data are based on statistics of 1913, 1916, and 1917.

Summary of information received by telegraph from industrial insurance companies for week ended Sept. 11, 1920.

| | |
|--|------------|
| Policies in force..... | 44,937,106 |
| Number of death claims..... | 6,046 |
| Death claims per 1,000 policies in force, annual rate..... | 7.0 |

Deaths from all causes in certain large cities of the United States during the week ended Sept. 18, 1920, infant mortality (per cent), annual death rate, and comparison with corresponding week of preceding years.

| City | Population Jan. 1, 1920, subject to revision. | Week ended Sept. 18, 1920. | | Average annual death rate per 1,000. ² | Per cent of deaths under 1 year. | |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | Total deaths. | Death rate. ¹ | | Week ended Sept. 18, 1920. | Previous year or years. ³ |
| Akron, Ohio..... | 208,435 | 43 | 10.8 | * 8.2 | 20.9 | * 18.9 |
| Albany, N. Y..... | 113,344 | 36 | 16.6 | C 13.4 | 16.7 | C 17.2 |
| Atlanta, Ga..... | 200,616 | 64 | 16.6 | C 11.3 | 12.5 | C 16.3 |
| Baltimore, Md..... | 733,826 | 188 | 13.4 | A 15.1 | 25.0 | A 24.5 |
| Birmingham, Ala..... | 178,270 | 63 | 18.4 | A 15.6 | 11.1 | A 14.2 |
| Boston, Mass..... | 747,923 | 178 | 12.4 | A 16.1 | 14.6 | A 21.8 |
| Bridgeport, Conn..... | 143,152 | 23 | 8.4 | A 13.0 | 17.4 | A 18.7 |
| Buffalo, N. Y..... | 506,775 | 103 | 10.6 | C 13.3 | 30.1 | C 22.7 |
| Cambridge, Mass..... | 109,456 | 20 | 9.5 | A 14.1 | 30.0 | A 19.4 |
| Chicago, Ill..... | 2,701,705 | 497 | 9.6 | A 13.3 | 24.5 | A 23.7 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio..... | 401,247 | 100 | 13.0 | C 10.2 | 17.0 | C 16.7 |
| Cleveland, Ohio..... | 796,836 | 175 | 11.5 | C 8.8 | 28.6 | C 23.5 |
| Columbus, Ohio..... | 237,031 | 55 | 12.1 | C 8.9 | 27.3 | C 20.0 |
| Dallas, Tex..... | 158,976 | 37 | 12.1 | | 18.9 | |
| Dayton, Ohio..... | 153,830 | 24 | 8.1 | C 11.3 | 25.0 | C 18.2 |
| Denver, Colo..... | 256,491 | 81 | 16.5 | A 13.2 | 17.3 | |
| Detroit, Mich..... | 993,739 | 137 | 7.2 | | 32.8 | |
| Fall River, Mass..... | 120,485 | 30 | 13.0 | C 11.3 | 33.3 | C 23.1 |
| Grand Rapids, Mich..... | 137,634 | 24 | 9.1 | C 9.2 | 16.7 | C 16.7 |
| Hartford, Conn..... | 138,036 | 42 | 15.9 | | 28.6 | |
| Indianapolis, Ind..... | 314,194 | 67 | 11.1 | C 12.3 | 23.9 | C 17.8 |
| Jersey City, N. J..... | 298,079 | 59 | 10.3 | C 9.1 | 22.0 | C 9.6 |
| Kansas City, Kans..... | 101,177 | 29 | 14.9 | | 27.6 | |
| Kansas City, Mo..... | 324,410 | 73 | 11.7 | C 14.3 | 16.4 | C 10.2 |
| Los Angeles, Calif..... | 576,673 | 134 | 12.1 | A 10.7 | 7.5 | A 11.1 |
| Louisville, Ky..... | 234,891 | 52 | 11.5 | C 9.1 | 9.6 | C 4.9 |
| Lowell, Mass..... | 112,479 | 30 | 13.9 | A 19.0 | 36.7 | A 41.2 |
| Milwaukee, Wis..... | 457,147 | 79 | 9.0 | A 9.8 | 25.3 | A 27.8 |
| Minneapolis, Minn..... | 380,582 | 53 | 7.3 | C 7.6 | 15.1 | C 14.5 |
| Nashville, Tenn..... | 118,342 | 38 | 16.7 | C 12.4 | 10.5 | C 14.3 |
| Newark, N. J..... | 414,216 | 83 | 10.4 | C 10.0 | 19.3 | C 16.5 |
| New Bedford, Mass..... | 121,217 | 30 | 12.9 | A 15.2 | 50.0 | A 38.6 |
| New Haven, Conn..... | 162,519 | 33 | 10.6 | C 14.9 | 15.2 | C 13.0 |
| New Orleans, La..... | 387,219 | 124 | 16.7 | A 17.7 | 9.7 | A 11.3 |
| New York, N. Y..... | 5,621,151 | 1,040 | 9.6 | C 9.0 | 17.0 | C 18.2 |
| Norfolk, Va..... | 115,777 | 10 | 4.5 | | 10.0 | |
| Oakland, Calif..... | 216,361 | 35 | 8.4 | A 10.4 | 11.4 | A 13.4 |
| Omaha, Nebr..... | 191,601 | 29 | 7.9 | C 7.7 | 27.6 | C 7.1 |
| Philadelphia, Pa..... | 1,823,158 | 395 | 11.3 | * 14.0 | 21.3 | * 20.5 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa..... | 588,193 | 141 | 12.5 | C 11.6 | 18.4 | C 23.1 |
| Portland, Oreg..... | 258,288 | 37 | 7.5 | C 11.6 | 2.7 | C 10.5 |
| Providence, R. I..... | 237,595 | 62 | 13.6 | C 12.8 | 29.0 | C 10.3 |
| Richmond, Va..... | 171,667 | 45 | 13.7 | C 12.3 | 28.9 | C 12.5 |
| Rochester, N. Y..... | 295,750 | 56 | 9.9 | C 9.5 | 23.2 | C 13.2 |
| St. Louis, Mo..... | 772,897 | 159 | 10.7 | C 11.7 | 11.9 | C 18.6 |
| St. Paul, Minn..... | 234,595 | 51 | 11.3 | C 8.9 | 13.7 | C 10.0 |
| Salt Lake City, Utah..... | 118,110 | 22 | 9.7 | A 12.3 | 18.2 | |
| San Francisco, Calif..... | 506,676 | 112 | 11.5 | C 10.8 | 10.7 | C 6.7 |
| Seattle, Wash..... | 315,652 | 42 | 6.9 | A 6.9 | 4.8 | A 8.6 |
| Spokane, Wash..... | 104,204 | 27 | 13.5 | C 10.0 | 25.9 | C 15.0 |
| Springfield, Mass..... | 129,338 | 26 | 10.5 | | 23.1 | |
| Syracuse, N. Y..... | 171,647 | 46 | 14.0 | C 11.1 | 28.3 | C 22.2 |
| Toledo, Ohio..... | 243,109 | 56 | 12.0 | A 14.8 | 23.2 | A 25.3 |
| Trenton, N. J..... | 119,289 | 31 | 13.6 | A 22.0 | 32.3 | A 26.0 |
| Washington, D. C..... | 437,571 | 107 | 12.8 | A 15.6 | 10.3 | A 16.0 |
| Worcester, Mass..... | 179,754 | 42 | 12.2 | C 12.6 | 21.4 | C 18.6 |
| Yonkers, N. Y..... | 100,176 | 18 | 9.4 | A 12.6 | 33.3 | A 22.2 |
| Youngstown, Ohio..... | 132,358 | 21 | 8.3 | | 19.0 | |

¹ Annual rates per 1,000 population.

² "A" indicates data for the corresponding week of the years 1913 to 1917, inclusive. "C" indicates data for the corresponding week of the year 1919.

³ Data are based on statistics of 1915, 1916, and 1917.

Summary of information received by telegraph from industrial insurance companies for week ended Sept. 18, 1920.

| | |
|--|------------|
| Policies in force..... | 44,060,477 |
| Number of death claims..... | 6,951 |
| Death claims per 1,000 policies in force, annual rate..... | 8.2 |